Critical Value and Evaluation of Potential For Erosion And sedimentation along The Kuala Jengki Manad0 Estuary

Maxi Tendean¹, Grace F. E. Suoth²

maxitendean@ymail.com¹, gracesuothgrace@gmail.com² Department Geography Faculty Social Sciences Manado State University North Sulawesi Indonesia^{1.2}

Abstract

The process of sedimentation and sediment transportin estuaries consists of the processes of settling, wearing away of the estuary riverbed, and carrying away sediment. Hydrophysical studies of the processes of sedimentation and bed erosion for the Kuala Jengki Manado river estuarymay be based on the distribution of position and time of the flow velocity variable. Analysis of the variable and its change along the river estuary can indicate the process of erosion or sedimentation that occurs at the estuary. The relationship between the two hydrophysical conditions of bed erosion and riverbed material sedimentation is determined by hydrological parameters as well as the particle diameter, flow velocity, particle type, gravitational force, and slope of the riverbed. These hydrological parameters will result in the critical value of bed erosion and sedimentation as well as the evaluation of the potential for erosion and sedimentation along the Kuala Jengki Manado estuary.

The utilized method to determine the data for hydrological parameterswas the development of the "sediment rating curve". Measurement of flow speed was conducted at a position within 4 to 6 cm above the riverbed, which allows it to be conducted without disrupting the riverbed material. The flow speed as measured on the layer closest to the surface of the riverbed (v_0) varied between 29,0 cm sec⁻¹ and 41,4 cm sec⁻¹. The highest value for bed streamvelocity (v_0) (41.4 cm sec⁻¹ -0.414 m sec⁻¹) was higher than the lowest critical value (0.094175 m sec⁻¹), and thus for the evaluation of potential, all segments of measurement indicate occurring riverbed surface erosion.

The sedimentation critical value had a critical value ratio (CVR)of (m) = 1.1. For depth variations from 0.78m to 1.98 m, the obtained range for sedimentation critical value was from 0.5161 m sec⁻¹ to 0.9367 m sec⁻¹. The highest critical value (v_0) was 0.414 m sec⁻¹, which is less than the sedimentation critical value $(0.5161 \text{ m sec}^{-1})$ and thus for the evaluation of potential, the measurement location at distances from 0 m to 1300 mcomprises an area for bed load sedimentation.

Keywords: Critical Value, Potential, Erosion, Sedimentation, Estuary.

Date of Submission: 09-10-2022

Date of Acceptance: 23-10-2022

I. Background

The process of sedimentation and sediment transport in estuaries involves the processes of settling, wearing away of the estuary riverbed, and carrying away sediment on the surface of the earth (Dibyosaputro,1979). Considering its method of transport by water, sediment may be differentiated suspended sediment (Asdak, 2004). Sedimentmoves in a river assuspended sediment and in flowing water asbed load sediment. Its process is not standalone, as the material that comprises bed load sedimentin one place then becomes suspended sediment in another place, and the quantity oftransported material in a cross-sectional river position may be stated in one way in the form of bed load sediment.

The processof change for an estuaryin general represents the results of settling, wearing away, andtransport of sedimenton the surface of the earth (Dibyosaputro, 1979). The transported material that determines the resulting formation of sedimentation cover bed load, suspended load, andwash load. The level of sedimentation for the material very much depends on the flow velocity of the river that carries it, and is additionally a determining factor for the level of erosion of the riverbed (in addition to the type or dimension of the riverbed material and its level of compaction).

A physical study of the processof sedimentation and riverbed erosion for the Kuala Jengkiestuary may be based on the distribution of positionandtime for the physical variable of flow velocity. Analysis of the variable andits changes along the estuary can indicate the process of erosionor sedimentation that occurs in estuaries. Distribution of theflow velocity of the river that becomes the determinant of patterns of sedimentation and transport ofbed loadfrom the riverbed (riverbed erosion) is therefore important to be determined, because estuaries are regions of riverbed erosion (wearing away of the riverbed) and regions where sedimentmaterialsettles along their lengths. The wearing away of the riverbed and transport of the bed loadwill occur if the flow discharge of the river exceeds the critical value of flow velocity; the relative velocity of bed load particles is very much determined by particle diameter and the specific gravity at the riverbed. The river flow velocity that is required to allow the riverbed material to settle is very much determined by hydrological parameters such as the mass of the water type, shearing force of bed sediment, and slope of theriverbed. The relationship between the two hydrophysical phenomena of bed erosion andsedimentation of riverbed material that are determined by various hydrological parameters becomes the determinant for the critical valueand the evaluation of the potential for erosion andriverbed sedimentation along the Kuala Jengki Manado estuary.

II. **Theoretical Basis**

The velocity of the river flow is the rate of movement of watermass containing sedimentthat passes through a river cross-section. The river flow velocity is measured with usage of a current meter. The data of flow velocity that is taken comprises the velocity of the water along with the transported material as it crosses the cross-section of the current meter. Although theoreticallythe velocities of water and the material or sediment that carries are not the same, the data that is taken can be analyzed as a single mass flow. Next, Asdak (2004) illustrates the velocity of sedimenttransportas the product of the mass of particles of an object (in this case being sedimentparticles) and the average velocity of the particles. The velocity of sediment transportis a function of the river flow velocity and sediment particle size.

The amount of sedimenttransport in river flow is a function of sediment supplyand stream energy. When the amount of stream energy exceeds the amount of sediment supply, degradation of the river occurs. On the other hand, when sedimentsupply is greater than the stream energy, aggradation of the river occurs(Asdak, 2004).

Bartniket al. (1992) explained that bed load transportoccurs when the flow discharge exceeds the critical value. Indeed, it is not easy to determine the flow discharge where the bed loadwill begin to move.Research results indicate a great correspondence between experimental results and the equation for bed loadtransport, as has been conducted in laboratory experiments. Marvis, in Schwab et al. (1981), developed a formula for determining the velocity of particles (bed load)that is relatively more flexible for variations of particlediameterbetween 0.35 mm and 5.7 mm and specific gravitybetween 1.83 mm sec⁻² and 2.64 mm sec⁻²: $v_t=0.152 d^{4/9} (G-1)^{1/2}$(1)

where vis particle velocity (m sec⁻¹), dis particle diameter (mm), and G is specific gravity (mm sec⁻²). Equation (1) provides a value of the range of the critical velocity for the occurrence of erosion of bed loadmaterialfor a river, according to the ranges of particle grain size (d) and specific gravity (G). If the flow velocity exceeds the value of v_t (for values of dandGbased on the river surface bed load), then particles on the riverbed surface will be carried away by the flow to downstream areas; conversely, if the flow velocity is less than the value of v_1 , then theriverbed surface will not be eroded and particles on theriverbed surface will not be carried away.

The required velocity to allow sedimentation of bed load particles, or the sedimentation velocity of the bed load follows the below formula:

1981).

Another formula that may beutilized to evaluate the sedimentation potential of bed load is described by Kennedy (Garg, 1979):

 $v_0 = 0.55 \text{ m y}^{0.64}$(3)

where v_0 is the critical velocity, m is the critical value ratio (CVR) that depends on the type of sedimentation material, and y is water depth. The above equations become the solution that flow velocity is a variable that can evaluate the sedimentation of transported material and erosion of the riverbed surface. In general, the deposition of transported material on the bed and along the bowl of the river occurs during conditions of low flow velocity or discharge, or during conditions of low water level. Remobilization of sediment occurs during the period of a highor increasing discharge, or during high water level conditions (De Boer 1992).

III. **Research method**

Dickinson and Bolton (1992)explained that bed loadmaterial and wash load are measured and calculated separately.Next, the bed load material transportis determined more by hydrologicalparameters, and thus data collection could be simplified by developing a "sediment rating curve" that relates the average concentration of sedimentto the discharge, for measurement in a brief period. Bartniket al. (1992)explained that the transport of bed load occurs if the flow discharge exceeds the critical value of the flow velocity. At each segment, measurement of the flow velocity was conducted at two points: at the layer closest to the riverbed (bed stream flow) and at a depth position of 0.6 calculated from the riverbed at that segment(M. Tendean*et al.*,2012).

The flow velocity at the layer closest to the surface of the riverbed is the velocity that is measured with usage of a current meter, at a position of 4 to 6 cm above the riverbed. This measurement position is the closest possible measurement position to the riverbed without disrupting the riverbedmaterial. Measurement conducted preciselyon the surface of the riverbed is difficult to be performed, particularly because of disruption to the riverbed surface material (Yugian, 1992; Marthen Kumajas, 2013).

The velocity units for the results of measurement with a current meter is feet per second, which are then converted to centimeters per second (cm sec⁻¹). The velocity, in relation to the analysis of critical threshold velocity for the occurrence of riverbed surface erosion and the critical velocity for sedimentation, is determined with usage of Equation (1), with the grain size parameter that is obtained through measurement. The supporting data that are required are data on the diameter of riverbed particles/material (d) and specific gravity (G). Data on particle diameter was obtained by taking samples of the riverbed material. Data on G was not measured or determined through measurement, but a range of G values was utilized that is appropriate to the field conditions (riverbed material), which was between 1.83 and 2.64 (Schwab *et al.*, 1981), (M. Tendean, 2014). As such, the critical threshold values represent a range of values that are utilized to predict the potential for erosion and evaluation of the riverbed by flows at certain levels of flooding.

The critical velocity for thesettling of sedimentis the limit of the maximum velocity for the occurrence of sedimentation at one point in the river cross-section. This velocity depends on the depth variable and the CVR (critical velocity ratio) value that depends on the type of sediment. The CVR value can be determined from a table of "*recommended values* of CVR" after conducting an identification of the sediment type. The magnitude of the critical velocity thresholdwas determined with usage of Equation (3). The magnitude of the critical velocity threshold may be utilized to evaluate the sedimentation of bed loadalong the estuary.

IV. Results and discussion

The required data for determining the value of critical threshold velocityutilized the Marvisformula (Equation (1)), with the grain particle diameter of the riverbed material (d);from the results of measurement by 27 samples taken at 9 positions and6 segments of measurement, bed load particle diameter sizes of 0.42 mm as the smallest and5.32mm as the largest were obtained. With a range of specific gravity (G) values from 1.83 mm sec⁻² to2.64 mm sec⁻², the minimum critical threshold velocity value for the particle size of d = 0.42 mm was 0.094175m sec⁻¹. For the particle size of d = 5.32mm, the value of the minimum critical threshold velocity was 0.291078m sec⁻¹.

The following is the presentation of the calculation results for the criticalvalue of riverbed wearing and evaluation of erosion potential with usage of Equation (1).

Measurement position	Distance from starting position(m)	Grain diameter (mm)		Range of v _t (ms ⁻¹) according to G value		Velocity on bed stream (ms ⁻¹)	Evaluation of erosion potential	
		Min-	Max-	G=1,83 (mm.s ⁻²)	G=2,64 (mm.s ⁻²)		L	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	
1	0	0.42		0.094175	0.132380	0.290	Erosion	
1	0		3.98	0.255857	0.359650	0.290	Erosion	
2	50	0.47		0.099003	0.139165	0.302	Erosion	
			4.37	0.266711	0.374907	0.302	Erosion	
3	100	0.58		0.108702	0.152800	0.309	Erosion	
5			4.46	0.269139	0.378320	0.507	Erosion	
4	150	0.62		0.111973	0.157397	0.313	Erosion	
4			4.49	0.269942	0.379448	0.315	Erosion	
5	200	0.59		0.109532	0.153965	0.322	Erosion	
5			4.47	0.269407	0.378696	0.322	Erosion	
6	300	0.76		0.122578	0.172303	0.394	Erosion	
			5.21	0.288388	0.405378	0.394	Erosion	
7	400	0.51		0.102663	0.144310	0.347	Erosion	
			4.52	0.270742	0.380573	0.347	Erosion	
8	500	0.49		0.100854	0.141767	0.347	Erosion	

			4.39	0.267253	0.375669		Erosion
9	600	0.57		0.107866	0.151623	0.353	Erosion
,			4.26	0.263706	0.370683	0.335	Erosion
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
10	700	0.73		0.120403	0.169247	0.433	Erosion
10	700		5.23	0.288879	0.406069	0.435	Erosion
11	800	0.77		0.123292	0.173307	0.447	Erosion
11	000		5.32	0.291078	0.409160	0.117	Erosion
12	850	0.69		0.117425	0.165060	0.425	Erosion
12	850		5.24	0.289125	0.406414	0.425	Erosion
13	900	0.72		0.119667	0.168212	0.428	Erosion
			5.21	0.288388	0.405378	0.428	Erosion
14	1000	0.67		0.115900	0.162917	0.375	Erosion
			4.86	0.279611	0.393040	0.375	Erosion
15	1100	0.59		0.109532	0.153965	0.383	Erosion
15			4.71	0.275742	0.387602	0.305	Erosion
16	1200	0.48		0.099934	0.140474	0.405	Erosion
			4.53	0.271008	0.380947	0.405	Erosion
17	1300	0.58		0.108702	0.152800	0.414	Erosion
			4.48	0.269674	0.379073	0.414	Erosion
Particle diameter v-critical according toG 1.83 2.64							
Max- 5	.32		85 291078	2.04	0.409160		
	.42		094175		0.132380		

The results of measuring the flow velocity on the layer closest to the surface of the riverbed (v_0) showed that the velocity for all segments of measurement varied from 29,0 cmsec⁻¹ to 41,4cm sec⁻¹. The highest value of the velocity for the bed streamor the layer closest to the surface of the riverbed (v_0) ,41,4cm sec⁻¹ = 0.414m sec⁻¹,was less than the higher criticalvalue (0.094175 m sec⁻¹),and thus it can be concluded that for all measurement segments, riverbed surface erosion occur. This means that during flow conditionssuch as that during the measurement (moderate flooding),the estuary of the Kuala Jengki Manado river experience riverbed erosion. The above data also indicated that if flooding increased, the flow velocity on the layer closest to the surface of the riverbed will increase, exceeding the critical value and potentiallycausingerosion of the riverbed. The evaluation of erosion potential along the measurement points illustrated that if the increase in flow velocity exceeds the critical value, then wearing away (erosion) of the riverbed will occur, which means that bed loadtransport will occur across the estuary.

To determine the critical value forsedimentation with the Kennedy formula in Equation (3), this requires depth data and the critical value ratio (CVR) that depends on the type of material that settles. For material in the form of fine to coarse sand, the CVR value (m) = 1.1. Values of the Critical Value Ratio for Several Types of Transport Material (*cited from Garg, 1979*)

No	Type of Silt	Value of m
1	Silt of River Indus Pakistan	0.7
2	Light sandy silt in North Indian Rivers	1.0
3	Light sandy silt, a little coarser	1.1
4	Sandy, loamy silt	1.2
5	Debris of hard soil	1.3

The following is the presentation of the calculation results for the critical value of bed loadsedimentation and evaluation of sedimentation potential with usage of Equation (3).

Measurement position	Distance from starting position(m)	Value according to bed streammaterial	Depth point of measurement y (meters)	Critical velocity v ₀ (ms ⁻¹)	Velocity on bed stream (ms ⁻¹)	Evaluation of Sedimentation Potential	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	
1	0	1.1	0.97	0.5933	0.290	Sedimentation occurs	
2	50	1.1	1.21	0.6835	0.302	Sedimentation occurs	
3	100	1.1	0.89	0.5615	0.309	Sedimentation occurs	
4	150	1.1	1.24	0.6943	0.313	Sedimentation occurs	
5	200	1.1	1.54	0.7976	0.322	Sedimentation occurs	
6	300	1.1	1.98	0.9367	0.394	Sedimentation occurs	
7	400	1.1	1.56	0.8042	0.347	Sedimentation occurs	
8	500	1.1	0.87	0.5534	0.347	Sedimentation occurs	
9	600	1.1	1.23	0.6907	0.353	Sedimentation occurs	
10	700	1.1	1.98	0.9367	0.433	Sedimentation occurs	
11	800	1.1	1.78	0.8750	0.447	Sedimentation occurs	
12	850	1.1	1.97	0.9337	0.425	Sedimentation occurs	
13	900	1.1	1.57	0.8075	0.428	Sedimentation occurs	
14	1000	1.1	0.78	0.5161	0.375	Sedimentation occurs	
15	1100	1.1	0.96	0.5894	0.383	Sedimentation occurs	
16	1200	1.1	0.87	0.5534	0.405	Sedimentation occurs	
17	1300	1.1	0.90	0.5655	0.414	Sedimentation occurs	
Value	Depth (y)	value of m	critical velocity	Bed stream	n velocity		

Critical Value And Evaluation Of Potential For Erosion Andsedimentationalong The ...

Value	Depth (y)	value of m	critical velocity	Bed stream ve	elocity	
max-	1.9	8	1.1	0.9367	0.414	0.290
min-	0.73	8	1.1	0.5161		

For depth variations between 0,78m and 1,98 m,the range of the critical value for sedimentation was found to be between 0.5161 m sec⁻¹ and 0.9367 m sec⁻¹. The highest critical value for the flow velocity on the layer closest to the surface of the river bed (v₀) across the estuary was 0.414 m sec⁻¹, which is less than the critical value for sedimentation of 0.5161 m sec⁻¹. It can thus be concluded that across the measurement positions from the estuary mouth heading upstream over a distance of 1300 meters, material transport had occurred, and evaluation of the sedimentation potential at the measurement locations at distances from 0 m to 1300 m from the mouth of the estuary showed that they are areas for sedimentation of transported sediment material in the form of bed load.

V. Conclusion

1. The flow velocity (v_0) varies from 29,0 cmsec⁻¹ to 41,4 cm sec⁻¹. The highest value of the bed streamvelocity (v_0) is 41.4 cm sec⁻¹ =0.414 m sec⁻¹, less than the smallest critical value (0.094175 m sec⁻¹). Evaluation of potential for all measurement segments shows erosion of theriverbed surface.

2. The sedimentation critical value is for a critical value ratio (CVR)(m) = 1.1. For depth variations between 0.78 mand 1.98 m, the range of the sedimentation critical value is from 0.5161 m sec⁻¹to 0.9367 m sec⁻¹. The highest critical value (v₀) is 0.414 m sec⁻¹, less than the critical value (0.5161 m sec⁻¹). Evaluation of the potential for the measurement locations at distances from 0 m to 1300 m indicate regions of bed load sedimentation.

References

- [1]. Dibyosaputro, S. 1979. Studi sedimen Yield Air Sungai Daerah Pengaliran Kali Lukulo Hulu diatas AWLR Karangsambung Kebumen(Study of Sediment Yield on River Water of the Irrigation Area for Lukulo Hulu River above the Karangsambung Kebumen AWLR). S-1 Undergraduate Thesis Yogyakarta; Faculty of Geography UGM. Asdak, C. 2004 . Hidrologi dan Pengelolaan Daerah Aliran Sungai. Yogyakarta: Gadjah Mada University Press.
- [2].
- [3]. Bartnik W., M. Madeyski and A. Michalik. Suspended Load and Bed Load Transport in Mountain Sreams Determinated Using Different Method : Proceeding of the Int Symposium on Erosin and Sediment Transport Monitoring Programmes in River Basin Oslo, Norway, 24 – 28 August 1992, page 3-9
- Schwab G.O. Frevert R.K., Edminster T.W., And Barnes K.K., 1981, Soil and Water Conservation Engineering, John Wiley & [4]. Sons-Toronto.
- [5]. Garg S.K., 1979 : Water Resources and Hydrology (third ed.), Khana Pub, 2-B, Nath Marlet, Nai Sarak, Delhi India
- De Boer D.H. (1992). Suspended Sediment Dynamics of Riverine Lake of the Lawrence River, Canada. Proceeding of the Int. [6]. Symposium on Erosinand
- Dickinson A and Bolton P. 1992 : A Program of Monitoring Sediment Transport in North Central Luzon, Philipina. Proceeding of [7]. the Int Symposium on Erosin and Sediment Transport Monitoring Programmes in River Basin Oslo, Norway, 24 - 28 August 1992, page 483 - 492.
- M.Tendean, M.Bisri, M.Lutfi Rayes, Z.E.Tamod, Mathematical Modelling of Flow Velocity and Bed Load Transport Along the [8].
- Estuary of Ranoyapo Amurang River, Journal of Basic and Applied Scientific Research J. Basic. Appl. Sci. Res., 2(5)4770-4779, 2012. Yuqian L. (1992). The Design and Operation of Sediment Transport Measurement Programmes in River Basins : the Chinese [9].
- Experience. Proceeding of the Int Symposium on Erosin and Sediment Transport Monitoring Programmes in River Basin Oslo, Norway, 24 - 28 August 1992, page 373 - 378.
- [10]. Marthen Kumajas; Water Disscharge Modeling as the Impact of Flood in River Estuary Tondano, American journal of Scientific Research, Issue 93, November, 2013.
- [11]. M. Tendean; Mathematical Function of Physical Variable and Material Transport DepositionMap In The River Estuaty, International Journal, Advanced Studies In Theoretical Physics (ASTP) August 2014 Volume 8,2014 No. 21 - 24.

Maxi Tendean, et. al. "Critical Value and Evaluation of Potential For Erosion And sedimentation along The Kuala Jengki Manad0 Estuary." IOSR Journal of Applied Geology and Geophysics (IOSR-JAGG), 10(5), 2022, pp. 34-39.

.
